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# What's new? 

## Stability.

## Historical Rarity

- 1990s: ten changes in ten years
- 2001-2004: change every 159 days
- Fall 2005- Fall 2009: no changes


## Historical Rarity
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## Starting Points

- Richer set of data for voting patterns and trends
- See how one Court adapts, without changing membership


## Voting Patterns

## Deciding Opinions



## Non-Unanimous Cases Agreement on the Deciding Opinion

|  | Hecht | O'Neill $^{\prime}$ | Wainwright | Brister | Medina | Green | Johnson | Willett |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jefferson | $59 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Hecht |  | $46 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| O'Neill |  |  | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Wainwright |  |  |  | $65 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Brister |  |  |  |  | $60 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Medina |  |  |  |  |  | $65 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Green |  |  |  |  |  |  | $76 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Johnson |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $67 \%$ |

## Opinion Stats

Given One Joins, Odds of the Other Joining

|  | Solo | WBJ | NLH | HON | JDW | SAB | DMM | PWG | PJ | DRW |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jefferson | $2 \%$ |  | $54 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Hecht | $6 \%$ | $53 \%$ |  | $40 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| O'Neill | $9 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $43 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Wainwright | $6 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $47 \%$ |  | $58 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Brister | $7 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $59 \%$ |  | $59 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Medina | $1 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  | $57 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Green | $1 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ |  | $77 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Johnson | $6 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $68 \%$ |  | $57 \%$ |
| Willett | $10 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |

## Opinion Stats

 By Author: Odds of Each Other Justice Joining|  | Solo | WBJ | NLH | HON | JDW | SAB | DMM | PWG | PJ | DRW |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jefferson | $10 \%$ |  | $23 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Hecht | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ |  | $9 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| O'Neill | $33 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $18 \%$ |  | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Wainwright | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Brister | $21 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $28 \%$ |  | $55 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Medina | $5 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Green | $4 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $43 \%$ |  | $78 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Johnson | $21 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  | $33 \%$ |
| Willett | $44 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ |  |

# Special Focus: Five-Vote Majorities 



Source: New York Times

## Fall 2005-Fall 2009

## SCOTUS had 92 five-vote majorities.

## SCOTX had 39 five-vote majorities

(and 5 more where visiting judges cast deciding votes).

In this analysis, we tried to exclude
"companion cases." With that adjustment,
SCOTUS had 79 that were unique.
SCOTX had 37 that were unique.

## Who Is in These Five-Vote Majorities?



# Patterns of 5-4 Decisions 

${ }^{3 / 4}$ U.S. Supreme Court


Over the same time period, almost 70\% of 5-4 decisions are one of two patterns
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## Texas Supreme Court

Much more variety
in voting patterns in these 5-4 decisions
... compared to the
U.S. Supreme Court


How did the Texas Supreme Court divide up in these 37 5-4 decisions?

The two most common 5-vote majorities


4 times


3 times

Most common sets of four?


3 more
(7 total)
(7 total)

4 more
(7 total)

## Most Common Sets of Three



II times

## 10 times

## 10 times

(These totals are within the 375 -vote majority cases. There are 84 possible sets of three. Each would be expected 4.4 times.)

## Other Common Sets of Three


(These totals are within the 375 -vote majority cases. There are 84 possible sets of three. Each would be expected 4.4 times.)

# What is the only group of three Justices who never voted together in a 5 -vote majority? 



But this group did join together in a 5-4 dissent. Harris County Hospital Dist. v.Tomball Regional Hospital, No. 05-0986 (Tex. 2009)

Which two Justices were most likely to join the same 5 -vote majority?


17 times

Next most likely pair?


15 times


Which two Justices were least likely to join the same 5 -vote majority?


Next least likely pair?


4 times

## Looking for Patterns

## U.S. Supreme Court
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## Voting Affinity
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## Mandamus

 Practice
## Mandamus

## Grant Rates Are Way Up, Filings Are Not



# The <br> Per Curiam Docket 

## Per Curiams and the Docket



